Chapter 27
Software Change
Managing the processes of software system change

Objectives

- To explain different strategies for changing software systems
  - Software maintenance
  - Architectural evolution
  - Software re-engineering
- To explain the principles of software maintenance
- To describe the transformation of legacy systems from centralized to distributed architectures

Topics covered

- Program evolution dynamics
- Software maintenance
- Architectural evolution

Software change

- Software change is inevitable
  - New requirements emerge when the software is used
  - The business environment changes
  - Errors must be repaired
  - New equipment must be accommodated
- The performance or reliability may have to be improved
- A key problem for organizations is implementing and managing change to their legacy systems

Software change strategies

- Software maintenance
  Changes are made in response to changed requirements but the fundamental software structure is stable
- Architectural transformation
  The architecture of the system is modified generally from a centralized architecture to a distributed architecture
- Software re-engineering
  No new functionality is added to the system but it is restructured and reorganized to facilitate future changes
- These strategies may be applied separately or together

Program evolution dynamics

- Program evolution dynamics is the study of the processes of system change
- After major empirical study, Lehman and Belady proposed that there were a number of ‘laws’ which applied to all systems as they evolved
- There are sensible observations rather than laws. They are applicable to large systems developed by large organizations. Perhaps less applicable in other cases
Lehman’s laws

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuing change</td>
<td>A program that is used in a real-world environment necessarily must change or become progressively less useful in that environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing complexity</td>
<td>As an evolving program changes, its structure tends to become more complex. Extra resources must be devoted to preserving and simplifying the structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large program evolution</td>
<td>Program evolution is a self-regulating process. System attributes such as size, time between releases and the number of reported errors are approximately invariant for each system release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational stability</td>
<td>Over a program’s lifetime, its rate of development is approximately constant and independent of the resources devoted to system development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of familiarity</td>
<td>Over the lifetime of a system, the incremental change in each release is approximately constant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicability of Lehman’s laws

- This has not yet been established
- They are generally applicable to large, tailored systems developed by large organizations
- It is not clear how they should be modified for
  - Shrink-wrapped software products
  - Systems that incorporate a significant number of COTS components
  - Small organizations
  - Medium sized systems

Software maintenance

- Modifying a program after it has been put into use
- Maintenance does not normally involve major changes to the system’s architecture
- Changes are implemented by modifying existing components and adding new components to the system

Maintenance is inevitable

- The system requirements are likely to change while the system is being developed because the environment is changing. Therefore a delivered system won't meet its requirements!
- Systems are tightly coupled with their environment. When a system is installed in an environment it changes that environment and therefore changes the system requirements.
- Systems MUST be maintained if they are to remain useful in an environment

Types of maintenance

- Maintenance to repair software faults
  Changing a system to correct deficiencies in the way it meets its requirements
- Maintenance to adapt software to a different operating environment
  Changing a system so that it operates in a different environment (computer, OS, etc.) from its initial implementation
- Maintenance to add to or modify the system’s functionality
  Modifying the system to satisfy new requirements

Distribution of maintenance effort

- Fault repair (17%)
- Software adaptation (18%)
- Functionality addition or modification (65%)
Spiral maintenance model

Mainenance costs

- Usually greater than development costs (2 to 100 times depending on the application)
- Affected by both technical and non-technical factors
- Increases as software is maintained. Maintenance corrupts the software structure so makes further maintenance more difficult.
- Ageing software can have high support costs (e.g. old languages, compilers etc.)

Maintenance cost factors

- Team stability
  Maintenance costs are reduced if the same staff are involved with them for some time
- Contractual responsibility
  The developers of a system may have no contractual responsibility for maintenance so there is no incentive to design for future change
- Staff skills
  Maintenance staff are often inexperienced and have limited domain knowledge
- Program age and structure
  As programs age, their structure is degraded and they become harder to understand and change

Evolutionary software

- Rather than think of separate development and maintenance phases, evolutionary software is software that is designed so that it can continuously evolve throughout its lifetime

The maintenance process
Change requests

- Change requests are requests for system changes from users, customers or management
- In principle, all change requests should be carefully analyzed as part of the maintenance process and then implemented
- In practice, some change requests must be implemented urgently
  - Fault repair
  - Changes to the system’s environment
  - Urgently required business changes

Change implementation

Requirements updating
Software development
Requirements analysis
Proposed changes

Emergency repair

- Modify source code
- Deliver modified system
- Analyze source code
- Change requests

Maintenance prediction

- Maintenance prediction is concerned with assessing which parts of the system may cause problems and have high maintenance costs
  - Change acceptance depends on the maintainability of the components affected by the change
  - Implementing changes degrades the system and reduces its maintainability
  - Maintenance costs depend on the number of changes and costs of change depend on maintainability

Change prediction

- Predicting the number of changes requires understanding of the relationships between a system and its environment
- Tightly coupled systems require changes whenever the environment is changed
- Factors influencing this relationship are
  - Number and complexity of system interfaces
  - Number of inherently volatile system requirements
  - The business processes where the system is used
Complexity metrics

- Predictions of maintainability can be made by assessing the complexity of system components
- Studies have shown that most maintenance effort is spent on a relatively small number of system components
- Complexity depends on
  - Complexity of control structures
  - Complexity of data structures
  - Procedure and module size

Process metrics

- Process measurements may be used to assess maintainability
  - Number of requests for corrective maintenance
  - Average time required for impact analysis
  - Average time taken to implement a change request
  - Number of outstanding change requests
- If any or all of these is increasing, this may indicate a decline in maintainability

Architectural evolution

- There is a need to convert many legacy systems from a centralized architecture to a client-server architecture
- Change drivers
  - Hardware costs. Servers are cheaper than mainframes
  - User interface expectations. Users expect graphical user interfaces
  - Distributed access to systems. Users wish to access the system from different, geographically separated, computers

Distribution factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business importance</td>
<td>Returns on the investment of distributing a legacy system depend on its importance to the business and how long it will remain important. If distribution provides more efficient support for stable business processes then it is more likely to be a cost-effective evolution strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System age</td>
<td>The older the system the more difficult it will be to modify its architecture because previous changes will have degraded the structure of the system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System structure</td>
<td>The more modular the system, the easier it will be to change the architecture. If the application logic, the data management and the user interface of the system are closely intertwined, it will be difficult to separate functions for migration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware procurement</td>
<td>Application distribution may be necessary if there is company policy to replace expensive mainframe computers with cheaper servers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legacy system structure

- Ideally, for distribution, there should be a clear separation between the user interface, the system services and the system data management
- In practice, these are usually intermingled in older legacy systems

Legacy system structures

- Ideal model for distribution
- Real legacy systems
Layered distribution model

- Presentation
- Data validation
- Interaction control
- Application services
- Database

Legacy system distribution

User interface distribution

- UI distribution takes advantage of the local processing power on PCs to implement a graphical user interface
- Where there is a clear separation between the UI and the application then the legacy system can be modified to distribute the UI
- Otherwise, screen management middleware can translate text interfaces to graphical interfaces

Distribution options

- The more that is distributed from the server to the client, the higher the costs of architectural evolution
- The simplest distribution model is UI distribution where only the user interface is implemented on the server
- The most complex option is where the server simply provides data management and application services are implemented on the client
### UI migration strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy A</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using the window management system</td>
<td>Access to all UI functions so no restrictions on UI design</td>
<td>Better UI performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Platform dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May be more difficult to achieve interface consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy B</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using a web browser</td>
<td>Platform independent</td>
<td>Lower training costs due to user familiarity with the WWW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easier to achieve interface consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potentially poorer UI performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interface design is constrained by the facilities provided by web browsers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key points

- Software change strategies include software maintenance, architectural evolution, and software re-engineering.
- Lehman’s Laws are invariant relationships that affect the evolution of a software system.
- Maintenance types are:
  - Maintenance for repair
  - Maintenance for a new operating environment
  - Maintenance to implement new requirements

### Key points (continued)

- The costs of software change usually exceed the costs of software development.
- Factors influencing maintenance costs include staff stability, the nature of the development contract, skill shortages, and degraded system structure.
- Architectural evolution is concerned with evolving centralized to distributed architectures.
- A distributed user interface can be supported using screen management middleware.