SOLUTIONS FOR THE SECOND 4330 QUIZ

Jehan-Francois Paris Summer 2014

First question

- A cruising boat can carry up to 80 passengers. These passengers can embark or debark through a narrow gangway that can accommodate one person at a time.
- Add the required semaphore calls to the following two functions to ensure that the boat nor its gangway will never be overloaded. (30 points)

First question

- semaphore boat = 80; semaphore gangway = 1;
- embark(){ debark()(



Let us put first the mutex calls

 semaphore boat = 80; semaphore gangway = 1;
 embark(){ debark()(_____; P(&gangway); P(&gangway); walk(); walk();

walk(); V(&gangway); _____;

} //embark

P(&gangway); walk(); V(&gangway); ;

} //debark

Then the two other calls

semaphore boat = 40; semaphore gangway = 1; debark()(embark(){ P(&boat); P(&gangway); P(&gangway); walk(); walk(); V(&gangway); V(&gangway); V(&boat); } //embark } //debark

Second question

Consider the following System V.4 scheduler: #ts_quantum ts_tqexp ts_slpret ts_maxwait ts_lwait LVL 1000 50000 # 0 0 1 500 2 20000 2 # 1 () 3 200 10000 3 # 2 1 100 2 3 10000 3 # 3

Which priority levels will be visited by a process that starts at level 1, waits for 20 seconds, requests 80ms of CPU time and and does an I/O request (2×5 points)

Answer

<pre>#ts_quantum</pre>	ts_tqexp	ts_sl pret	ts_maxwait	ts_l wai t	L\	/L
1000	0	1	50000	1	#	0
500	0	2	20000	2	#	1
200	1	3	10000	3	#	2
100	2	3	10000	3	#	3

- Which priority levels will be visited by a process that starts at level 1, waits for 20 seconds, requests 80ms of CPU time and does an I/O request (2×5 points)
- Goes from level 1 to level 2 because ts_lwait
 Goes from level 2 to level 3 because ts_slpret

What is the main disadvantage of the roundrobin CPU scheduling policy?

What is the main disadvantage of the roundrobin CPU scheduling policy?

It causes too many context switches at medium to high CPU loads

Because we want to keep a good response time for interactive requests

Of all four necessary conditions for deadlocks, which one is the easiest to deny?

Of all four necessary conditions for deadlocks, which one is the easiest to deny?

Circular wait

What is the main advantage of *datagrams* over *virtual circuits* and *streams*?

What is the main advantage of *datagrams* over *virtual circuits* and *streams*?

Much lower overhead

How can you simulate a *blocking receive* using only *non-blocking primitives*?

How can you simulate a *blocking receive* using only *non-blocking primitives*?

Using a busy wait: while(receive(mbox, buffer, nbytes) ==NO_MSG);

Why do notify primitives are safer to use than the older signal primitives?

Why do notify primitives are safer to use than the older signal primitives?

Because a notify call never interrupts the procedure calling it

What is the best way to prevent starvation in scheduling policies implementing variable priorities?

- What is the best way to prevent starvation in scheduling policies implementing variable priorities?
 - □ We should *increase* the priorities of processes that have waited for *too long* in the ready queue

Fourth question

 Consider the following solution to the mutual exclusion problem and explain when it fails (5 points) and what happens then. (5 points)

Fourth question

- shared int reserved[2] = {0, 0}; // global var
- void enter_region(int pid) { // 0 or 1
 int other;
 other = 1 pid; // pid of other process
 reserved[pid] = 1; // reserve
 while (reserved[other]); // busy wait
 reserved[pid] = 1; // reserve
 } // enter_region
- void leave_region(int pid) {
 reserved[pid] = 0;
 } // leave region

Fourth question

- shared int reserved[2] = {0, 0}; // global var
- void enter_region(int pid) { // 0 or 1
 int other;
 other = 1 pid; // pid of other process
 reserved[pid] = 1; // reserve
 while (reserved[other]); // busy wait
 reserved[pid] = 1; // reserve
 } // enter_region
- void leave_region(int pid) {
 reserved[pid] = 0;
 } // leave region

The two critical lines



} // leave_region

Final answer

Solution will cause a *deadlock* when two processes attempt to enter the critical section in lockstep.

Fifth question

- Consider the function
 - void badexchange(int *pa, int *pb) {
 *pa = *pb;

and assume the following calling sequence:

alpha = 3; beta = 5; badexchange (&alpha, &beta);

Fifth question

What will be the value of alpha and beta after the call assuming that the call was:

A conventional procedure call? (5 points				
alpha =	_ beta =			
A remote proced	<i>remote procedure call</i> ? (5 points)			
alpha =	_ beta =			

The hard case

```
Given
  void badexchange(int *pa, int *pb) {
     *pa = *pb;
     *pb *= *pa;
    } // badexchange
  and the calling sequence
  □alpha = 3; beta = 5
  badexchange (&alpha, &beta);
  a conventional call would return
  □ alpha = 5 and beta = 25
```

The easy case

```
Given
  void badexchange(int *pa, int *pb) {
     *pa = *pb;
     *pb *= *pa;
    } // badexchange
  and the calling sequence
  \Box alpha = 3; beta = 5;
    badexchange (&alpha, &beta);
  an RPC would return
  □ alpha = 5 and beta = 25
```

Sixth question

What is the main advantage of the all or nothing RPC model over the at most once model? (5 points)

What is its sole disadvantage ? (5 points)

Sixth question

- What is the *main advantage* of the *all or nothing* RPC model over the *at most once* model? (5 points)
 - It eliminates the risk of *partial executions* of the request
 - Crucial for financial transactions.
- What is its sole disadvantage? (5 points)
 - Its high overhead